

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/832,094	Applicant(s) NAKADA, SUGURU	
	Examiner Kwang B. Yao	Art Unit 2667	

All Participants:

(1) Kwang B. Yao.

(2) Amelia Morani.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

112 second paragraph rejection

Claims discussed:

1,3,8

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is noted that the newly added limitations do not meet the requirements of 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph. It is suggested to cancel claims 1 and 3; and to make claims 3, 4 depend on claim 8. Ms. Morani would like to see an Office Action before making any amendements. .